

Quality Assurance in Pharmacy

The Case of Italy

Giuseppe Ronsisvalle

Coordinatore Presidio della Qualità

Università degli Studi di Catania

XXI EAFP Annual Conference - Athens, May 14-16, 2015

Quality Levels

Procedures for QA in Italy are very complex and involve different subjects both at the local and at the national level:

- **INITIAL APPROVAL**

1. Curriculum Design (CdS)
2. Department Proposal
3. iQA (Presidio della Qualità) & UNI Approval
4. CUN Approval (Classi di laurea)
5. ANVUR Approval

- **ANNUAL EVALUATION:**
 - SUA-CdS
 - CPD
 - Riesame (self-evaluation)
 - Presidio della Qualità
 - Nucleo di valutazione

NATIONAL PERIODICAL ACCREDITATION

- **National Monitoring and Periodical Accreditation (within the procedures for Institutional Accreditation)**
 - Desk Analysis by ANVUR QTeam
 - *In situ* visit
 - Report by ANVUR
 - Ministerial Approval or Request of Change
 - Denial of Accreditation

Initial Proposal and self-evaluation are based on:

- Analysis of context, need of professionals
- Consulting Social Organizations (Professions, Ministerial monitoring of social needs, Industries, Research Centres, Natn'l Health Systems and so on)
- Employability and professional profiles trained
- Admission requirements (very detailed as there is a very selective admission test)

TRAINING PATH - CURRICULUM

- **Careful description of training:**
 - Disciplines, hours, credits, work load, learning outcomes, assessment, LOs per Dublin Descriptor per module)
 - Teaching Schedule
 - Assessment Days
 - 6mo Training in Pharmacy requirements
 - Thesis
 - Stages
 - ERASMUS

And ...

- **List of Professors (Curriculum) and Workload description per professor**
- Tutors and Tutorial
- **Libraries and other services**
- Placement
- **Monitoring of Students Opinion**
- Monitoring of Graduates !, 3, 5 years after graduation

Monitoring (Dept'l task)

- **Monitoring Students Progression per single COORTE**
- Average Quality of Student progression
- **AlmaLaurea**
- CPD
- **Survey of Pharmacists opinion for the students in TIROCINIO**

Students Opinion (per single discipline)

- **National (ANVUR) plus Local**
 - Pre-requisites
 - **Workload** (The survey was presented in Utrecht (EAFF))
 - Teaching documents
 - **Assessment procedures**
 - Professors
 - **Stages and Tirocinio**
 - Trasparecies of procedures and info availability
 - **Tutors (Training)**
 - Post-graduation evaluation
 - **Support of International Relation Office**
 - Placement

National Approval

- CUN evaluates all the above mentioned documents

Annual Report of RIESAME (local)

- **Quality Team of CdS**
- Consultation of
- **Analysis of DATA (Survey, Student Opinion, Careers Developments, Employability ...)**
- PdQ and NUVAL Suggestions
- **SWOT Analysis**

Riesame (SWOT)

- **First level:** Entrance, Training path, Exit
- **Second level:** Student experience
- **Third level:** Placement

For each level ...

- **Advancement of last year corrections and efficacy of taken measures**
- Data on which the analysis is based
- **New or continuing initiatives (procedures, scheduling, responsibilities, ...)**

CPD

Students-Professors Committee

- **Proposal of New Corrections**
- Evidence of mistakes
- **Suggestions**

PdQ and NUVAL

- **Coherence of Analysis and Proposal**
- Suggestions
- **Quality Procedures Monitoring**
- Flow of Info
- **Storage of Info**
- Submission of Documents to NUVAL

ANVUR Evaluation

AQ.5 QA

Q Procedures are really applied and with efficacy

- **A.1 Consulted Organizations**
 - Consulted Organizations are representative of all possible employments at local/national and intn'l level?
- **A.2 Type of Consultation**
 - The procedure is suitable to afford relevant and updated info?
- **A.3 Functions and Skills**
 - For each professional path, skills and functions are well described in order to well define LOs?

and

- **B.1 Admission Procedure**
 - How Admission skills are verified and how weakness per single student are verified (at macro or micro level? How accomplishment of missing requirements are verified after admission?
- **B.2 Professional requirements and LOs Coherence**
 - Professional skills (Dublin 1-2), generic (D 3-4-5), are coherent (at the module level) with the professional requirements?
- **B.3 Coherence in Teaching methodologies, and in**
- **B.4 Assessment procedures**

and

- **C.1 Analysis of Data and Problem Identification - RIESAME**
 - Student Opinion – Graduate Opinion – Professors Opinion are taken in good consideration? Data collection is ok? Is there enough support by U administration?
- **C.2 Individuazione cause problemi**
 - Sono analizzate le cause in maniera convincente?
- **C.3 Proposed solution for identified problems**
 - Responsibilities and attribution of tasks, Involvement of Department?
- **C.4 Indicators for Conformance - Times**
 - Students are involved in the process?

and

- **D.1 Student Opinion Transparency**
- **D.2 Evidence of Positive reaction to students requests**
 - **Subjects involved in the process**
- **D.3 Solutions**
 - **Are solutions measurable in the efficacy? Responsibility are clearly attributed? Who is in charge to monitor? Riesame is reporting clerly those problems?**

and

- **E.1 Curriculum Efficay (checked by external evaluators)**
 - Correspondance with organization consulted in the proposal
- **E.2 Placement**
 - Structures, Services and Activities in the academic year, Professional training satisfaction and so on? Institutional collaboration and coordination?

Report

- **Signed by ANVUR is approved by the Minister**

Grazie

- giuseppe.ronsisvalle@unict.it